

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,840	01/26/2007	Lafon Guy	149659.00002	1226
25207 POWELL GO	7590 10/09/200 LDSTEIN LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE ATLAN	TIC CENTER	IEVA, NICHOLAS		
FOURTEENTH FLOOR 1201 WEST PEACHTREE STREET NW ATLANTA, GA 30309-3488			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		.,					
Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/596,840		GUY ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Nicholas leva		2836			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, will apply and will e b, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be time xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from the tion to become AB ANDONED	bly filed he mailing date of this c 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju	<u>une 2006</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) [ 6) [ 7) [	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from cons					
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2006</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	)⊠ accepted drawing(s) be tion is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	* *		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date 10/11/2006		)  Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dai )  Notice of Informal Pa )  Other:	te			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

1. Claims 13 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 13 recites the limitation "the resistive element" in first line of the claim, and claim 14 recites the limitation "the resistive element" in last two lines of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For the purpose of applying prior art to theses claims this limitation is being interpreted as "a resistive element" instead of "the resistive element." Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Engel et al. (US 3,911,322), which was supplied in the applicant's information disclosure statement.

Consider claims 1, 8 and 12, Engel et al. teaches a method of protecting electrical equipment against transient surges in which the electrical equipment is

Application/Control Number: 10/596,840

Art Unit: 2836

connected to a protector device **14** (figure 6; abstract; column 2, line 47 – column 4, line 21 or figure 1; column 1, lines 5-16; column 2, lines 24-30), comprising:

a. a spark gap 18 presenting intrinsic capacity to break follow current

b. an improvement member 16 for improving the follow current breaking power, which member co-operates with the spark gap, in such a manner that the device presents resultant follow current breaking capacity that is significantly greater than said intrinsic capacity,

the method being characterized in that for equipment presenting an assumed short-circuit current that exceeds said intrinsic breaking capacity of the spark gap, the improvement member comprises limiter means **16** for limiting the magnitude of the electrical current passing through the spark gap, said limiter means being specifically designed and connected relative to the spark gap to limit the magnitude of the follow current in such a manner that said follow current can be interrupted by the intrinsic follow current breaking capacity of the spark gap alone (figure 6; abstract; column 2, line 47 – column 4, line 21 or figure 1; column 1, lines 5-16; column 2, lines 24-30).

Consider **claims 2 and 9**, Engel et al. teaches that the improvement member **16** comprises a resistive element connected in series with the spark gap **18** (figure 6; column 2, line 47 – column 3, line 31 or figure 1).

Consider **claim 3**, Engel et al. teaches that the resistive element **16** presents substantially no self-induction (figure 6; column 2, line 47 – column 3, line 31 or figure 1).

Consider claims 4, 10 and 13, Engel et al. teaches that the resistive element 16 is formed by an electrical resistor (figure 6; column 2, line 47 – column 3, line 31 or figure 1).

Consider **claims 5, 11 and 14**, Engel et al. teaches that the spark gap is connected to the equipment by electrical connection means (contact terminal) **22**, said connection means forming the resistive element (a contact terminal is a resistive element because it has a resistance) (figure 6; column 2, line 47 – column 3, line 31 or figure 1).

Consider **claim 6**, Engel et al. teaches that the improvement member **16** is constituted exclusively by the resistive element **16** (figure 6; column 2, line 47 – column 3, line 31 or figure 1).

Consider claim 7, Engel et al. teaches that the device constitutes a lightning arrestor 14 (figure 6; column 1, lines 45-63; column 6, lines 22-31 or figure 1).

#### Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kawiecki (US 3,448,337) and Miske (US 3,538,388) both teach a protector device for protecting electrical equipment against transient surges, that comprises a spark gap and an improvement member. Palmer (US 3,735,259), which was supplied in the applicant's information disclosure statement, teaches a connection means that forms a resistive element.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,840

Art Unit: 2836

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas leva whose telephone number is 571-270-1270. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH (7:30am - 5pm), and F (7:30am - 4pm), EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on 571-272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NI NE

MICHAEL SHERRY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER